



OLTHUIS KLEER  
TOWNSHEND - LLP

BARRISTERS AND SOLICITORS

**Senwung Luk**  
sluk@oktlaw.com  
416.981.9443  
416-981-9350  
74009

March 8, 2018

The Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities  
Ms. G. Cheryl Blundon, Board Secretary  
Prince Charles Building  
210 - 120 Torbay Road,  
St. John's, NL, A1A 2G8

**Re: NLH Capital Application – Happy Valley-Goose Bay distribution upgrades – Labrador Interconnected Group RFIs**

Pursuant to the Board's correspondence of August 10, 2018, please accept the enclosed the Requests for Information of the Labrador Interconnected Group, numbered LAB-NLH-01 through LAB-NLH-09.

Should you have any questions, please be sure to contact me.

Respectfully,  
Olthuis, Kleer, Townshend LLP  
PER:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Senwung Luk', written over a white background.

SENWUNG LUK  
PARTNER

SL/tw

**IN THE MATTER OF** the *Public Utilities Act*, RSN 1990, Chapter P-46 (the “Act”); and

**IN THE MATTER OF** an Application by Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro pursuant to Subsection 41(3) of the *Act*, for approval of capital expenditures to upgrade the Happy Valley-Goose Bay Distribution System

---

**Requests for Information**

**by the Labrador Interconnected Group**

**LAB-NLH-01 to LAB-NLH-09**

**August 24, 2018**

---

1 **Requests for Information**

2  
3 **LAB-NLH-01 Re: Schedule 1, Appendix A: Minimizing Customer Impact upon Loss of**  
4 **Supply HVGB, Rural Planning Study, page1 (Schedule 1, page 9 of 21)**

5 Citation 1 (p. 1):

6 The peak load at the HVY Terminal Station is modeled as 80.7 MW as per the  
7 Spring 2018 load forecast for the area.

8 a) Please indicate the number of MW included in the forecast of 80.7 MW which consist of  
9 companies engaged in cryptocurrency or other blockchain activities.

10 b) Please break down the previous response by individual service connection, indicating for  
11 each:

12 a. The company name,

13 b. The number of MW,

14 c. The street address, and

15 d. By which feeder it is served.  
16  
17

18 **LAB-NLH-02 Re: Schedule 1, Appendix A: Minimizing Customer Impact upon Loss of**  
19 **Supply HVGB, Rural Planning Study, page1 (Schedule 1, page 9 of 21)**

20 Citation 1 (p. 1):

21 The loading on the individual feeders assumes a coincidence factor of 92%.<sup>2</sup>  
22 (Note 2: Typically, each feeder on a distribution peaks at a different time  
23 creating a difference between the sum of individual feeder peaks and the total  
24 system peak. A coincidence factor is the ratio between these two numbers. The  
25 factor noted is a specific calculated coincidence factor for Happy Valley-  
26 Goose Bay.)

27 a) Please indicate the typical coincidence factor for a cryptocurrency/blockchain customer.

28 b) Does the specific calculated coincidence factor of 92% for HVGB take into account the  
29 presence of cryptocurrency/blockchain customers?

30 c) If not, please indicate what the specific coincidence factor for HVGB would be in the  
31 absence of cryptocurrency/blockchain customers.

32 d) Please describe and explain the effect, if any, on HVGB reliability planning and on the  
33 justification for the proposed project if the coincidence factor presented in response to the  
34 previous question were used, instead of 92%.

1  
2 **LAB-NLH-03 Re: Schedule 1, Appendix A: Minimizing Customer Impact upon Loss of**  
3 **Supply HVGB, Rural Planning Study, Table A1, page 3 (Schedule 1, page 10 of 21)**

4 a) Please explain the meaning of the identifiers HV, HS, CR and NS.

5 Preamble:

6 Table A1 refers to “CR5 (end)” (a portion of line HV8), and Figure 1 (page 2) also refers  
7 to “CR5 (end)”. However, Table A3 on page 3 refers to “End of HV5”.

8 b) Please confirm that “End of HV5” in Table A3 should instead read “End of CR5”.

9

10 **LAB-NLH-04 Re: Schedule 1, Appendix A: Minimizing Customer Impact upon Loss of**  
11 **Supply HVGB, Rural Planning Study, page 3 (Schedule 1, page 11 of 21)**

12 Citation:

13

14 During events where certain supply sources are not available and when the  
15 system is operating near peak load, there will not be enough supply to meet the  
16 full town load. During such events it is important to establish feeder  
17 prioritization to ensure that power is being distributed in a way that will reduce  
18 the impact of the outage to the towns in the area. The feeders with the highest  
19 priority will be those with a large amount of community infrastructure such as  
20 grocery stores, schools, pharmacies, retirement homes, restaurants, and gas  
21 stations. The bulk of this infrastructure is located on the following feeders: ...

22 Preamble:

23 Table A1 on page 10 of 21 breaks down the HVGB peak load by Line and  
24 Portion of Line. Table A3 indicates the priority feeders, with a total of 25.1  
25 MW.

26 a) Please provide a copy of Table A1, indicating for each portion of line whether it is in a  
27 priority zone, or not.

28 b) For each of the cryptocurrency/blockchain customers mentioned in your response to  
29 LAB-NLH-001 b), please indicate by which Portion of Line it is served.

30 c) Please provide a map of HVGB indicating with coloured shading the priority zones, the  
31 non-priority zones and the location of the cryptocurrency/blockchain customers  
32 mentioned in your response to LAB-NLH-001 b).

33

1 **LAB-NLH-05 Re: Schedule 1, Appendix A: Minimizing Customer Impact upon Loss of**  
2 **Supply HVGB, Rural Planning Study, page 4 (Schedule 1, page 12 of 21)**

3 Citation:

4 If the Happy Valley-Goose Bay Gas Turbine is unable to supply power during  
5 peak load [Situation 1] then the only source of supply will be L1301/L1302  
6 with a capacity of 77 MW. This means there will be approximately 4 MW of  
7 load that cannot be served at peak.

8 During this situation, it is recommended to tie the end of CR5 to HV10, and  
9 the end of HV16 to HV15 using two new gang-operated switches<sup>4</sup> and rotate  
10 HS4, HV7(industrial), CR5, CR6, HV15(industrial), and HV16 off for 30  
11 minutes of each 90 minutes (each feeder will be on two thirds of the time). The  
12 amount of Cold Load Pick Up (CLPU) that can be tolerated under this situation  
13 is 35%.

- 14 a) Are there any circuits that would be disconnected (neither on nor rotated) under Situation  
15 1? If so, please identify them.
- 16 b) Please estimate the number of hours per year when curtailment would be required, under  
17 Situation 1.
- 18 c) Please indicate how much load would be unserved at peak in Situation 1 if all of the  
19 cryptocurrency/blockchain customers identified in the response to LAB-NLH-01a) were  
20 curtailed, and for how many hours (estimated).
- 21 d) Please indicate how the recommended feeder prioritization plan for Situation 1 would be  
22 modified, if all of the cryptocurrency/blockchain customers identified in the response to  
23 LAB-NLH-01a) were curtailed.

24 **LAB-NLH-06 Re: Schedule 1, Appendix A: Minimizing Customer Impact upon Loss of**  
25 **Supply HVGB, Rural Planning Study, page 4 (Schedule 1, page 12 of 21)**

26 Citation:

27 If the Happy Valley-Goose Bay Gas Turbine is unable to generate power or  
28 provide synchronous condenser support during peak load [Situation 2] the only  
29 source of supply is L1301/L1302 at 65 MW. This means there will be 16 MW  
30 unable to be served at peak.

31 During this situation, it is recommended to tie the end of CR5 to HV10, and  
32 the end of HV16 to HV15 using two new gang switches and rotate CR4,  
33 HV7(industrial), HV8, CR5, CR6, HV15(industrial), HV16 and HV17 off for  
34 30 mins of each 60 min period (each feeder will be on one-half of the time).  
35 The amount of CLPU that can be tolerated under this situation will be 42%.  
36 [underlining added]

- 37 a) Please confirm that “CR4” should read “HS4”.

- 1        b) Are there any circuits that would be disconnected (neither on nor rotated) under Situation  
2            2? If so, please identify them.
- 3        c) Please estimate the number of hours per year when curtailment would be required, under  
4            Situation 2.
- 5        d) Please indicate how much load would be unserved at peak in Situation 2 if all of the  
6            cryptocurrency/blockchain customers identified in the response to LAB-NLH-01a) were  
7            curtailed, and for how many hours (estimated).
- 8        e) Please indicate how the recommended feeder prioritization plan for Situation 2 would be  
9            modified, if all of the cryptocurrency/blockchain customers identified in the response to  
10          LAB-NLH-01a) were curtailed.

11

12    **LAB-NLH-07 Re: Schedule 1, Appendix A: Minimizing Customer Impact upon Loss of**  
13    **Supply HVGB, Rural Planning Study, page 4 (Schedule 1, page 12 of 21)**

14    Citation:

15            If T31 fails and is removed from service [Situation 3] the capacity of  
16            L1301/L1302 becomes 37 MW. This, along with the Happy Valley-Goose  
17            Bay Gas Turbine will allow a total supply of 62 MW indicating a 19 MW  
18            deficit that cannot be served at peak.

19            During this situation, it is recommended to tie the end of CR5 to L10, and the  
20            end of HV16 to HV15 using two new gang switches. Then rotate HS4,  
21            HV7(industrial), HV8, CR5, CR6, HV15(industrial), HV16 and HV17 off for  
22            30 mins of each 60 min period (each feeder will be on one-half of the time).  
23            The amount of CLPU that can be tolerated under this situation will be 35%.

- 24        a) Are there any circuits that would be disconnected (neither on nor rotated) under  
25            Situation 3? If so, please identify them.
- 26        b) Please estimate the number of hours per year when curtailment would be required, under  
27            Situation 3.
- 28        c) Please indicate how much load would be unserved at peak in Situation 3 if all of the  
29            cryptocurrency/blockchain customers identified in the response to LAB-NLH-01a) were  
30            curtailed, and for how many hours (estimated).
- 31        d) Please indicate how the recommended feeder prioritization plan for Situation 3 would be  
32            modified, if all of the cryptocurrency/blockchain customers identified in the response to  
33            LAB-NLH-01a) were curtailed.

34

1 **LAB-NLH-08 Re: Schedule 1, Appendix A: Minimizing Customer Impact upon Loss of**  
2 **Supply HVGB, Rural Planning Study, page 4 (Schedule 1, page 12 of 21)**

3 Citation:

4 If L1301/L1302 fails [Situation 4] then the only supply for Happy Valley-  
5 Goose Bay will be the Happy Valley-Goose Bay Gas Turbine. It will have a  
6 capacity of 25 MW leaving a deficit of 56 MW that cannot be served at peak.

7 During this situation, it is recommended to tie the north end of HV16 to  
8 HV15<sub>6</sub>; tie the end of CR5 to HV10, and to energize feeders HV10 (with end  
9 of CR5), HV15 (with part of HV16) and HV1 with HS3. If loading allows it  
10 may also be possible to energize the core of North West River and Sheshatshiu  
11 on HV7.

12 a) Are there any circuits that would be disconnected (neither on nor rotated) under  
13 Situation 4? If so, please identify them.

14 b) Please estimate the number of hours per year when curtailment would be required, under  
15 Situation 4.

16 c) Please indicate how much load would be unserved at peak in Situation 4 if all of the  
17 cryptocurrency/blockchain customers identified in the response to LAB-NLH-01a) were  
18 curtailed, and for how many hours (estimated).

19 d) Please indicate how the recommended feeder prioritization plan for Situation 4 would be  
20 modified, if all of the cryptocurrency/blockchain customers identified in the response to  
21 LAB-NLH-01a) were curtailed.

22

23 **LAB-NLH-09 Re: Schedule 1, Appendix A: Minimizing Customer Impact upon Loss of**  
24 **Supply HVGB, Rural Planning Study**

25 Citation:

26 This project involves design, procurement, and installation of additional  
27 infrastructure on the Happy Valley-Goose Bay distribution system to minimize  
28 the impact of potential supply deficits that may occur if demand exceeds  
29 available generation and/or transmission capacity. The new infrastructure  
30 consists of a total of five (5) gang operated switches and the required poles and  
31 conductor for a line extension. Based on the recommendations from the  
32 *“Minimizing Customer Impact upon Loss of Supply – Rural Planning*  
33 *Study”* (Rural Planning Study), found in Appendix A, the upgrades include:

34 1. Constructing a tie point between feeder HV10 and the end of feeder  
35 HV5. This will involve upgrading a section of distribution line from  
36 single phase to three phase, replacing poles along this section, as  
37 necessary, and installing two gang-operated switches; and,

1                   2. Installing a gang-operated switch on each of the feeders HV7, HV15,  
2                   and HV16 ;

3           a) Please indicate whether or not the proposed additional infrastructure would be required in  
4           order to curtail the cryptocurrency/blockchain customers identified in the response to  
5           LAB-NLH-01a).

6           b) If so, please indicate which element(s) of the proposed additional infrastructure would be  
7           required in order to be able to curtail the cryptocurrency/blockchain customers identified  
8           in the response to LAB-NLH-01a);

9           c) Please indicate which elements of the proposed infrastructure, if any, would be required  
10           if all cryptocurrency/blockchain customers could be curtailed as necessary to avoid  
11           disruption of service to other customers.

12